

Original Scientific Report

Clarifications about the identity of the names *Sagina alexandrae* and *S. hawaiiensis* (Caryophyllaceae)

Duilio IAMONICO 

Sapienza University of Rome, Department of Environmental Biology, 00185 Rome, Italy

Corresponding author: duilio.iamonico@uniroma1.it

ABSTRACT:

The names *Sagina alexandrae* and *S. hawaiiensis* are currently considered heterotypic synonyms. A detailed analysis of the protologues and other pertinent literature, as well as the study of the original material and other specimens, reveals that these two names do not represent the same taxon. Based on Pax's original description and Hillebrand's linked account published in *Flora of the Hawaiian Islands*, we noted that *S. hawaiiensis* was described as having petals half as long as the sepals ("petalis quam calyx dimidio brevioribus" in the protologue), whereas the petals of *S. alexandrae* are longer than the sepals. Notably, *S. hawaiiensis* was described with an uncommon combination of characters, specifically regarding the flowers which are 5-merous, with corollas shorter than the calyx, and 10 stamens. Among the few *Sagina* taxa with 10 stamens (*S. caespitosa*, *S. maxima*, *S. nivalis*, *S. nodosa*, and *S. saginoides*), only *S. maxima* s.s. exhibits a morphology matching that of *S. hawaiiensis*. The nomenclature of these two names is clarified as follows. According to Arts. 9.3, 9.6, and 9.10 of the *Madrid Code*, the indication of "holotype" by CROW for *S. maxima* is corrected to "lectotype" (specimen at GH). Lacking any original material for *S. hawaiiensis*, as well as useful specimens collected in Hawaii, the type of *S. maxima* was designated as the neotype (Art. 9.8) of *S. hawaiiensis*, thus making the two names homotypic (new synonymy).

Keywords: nomenclature, *Sagina maxima*, synonymy, typification.

Received:
23 July 2025Revision accepted:
14 September 2025

INTRODUCTION

Sagina L. (Caryophyllaceae Juss.) is a genus of approximately 30 species mostly occurring in North-temperate and arctic regions, with only a few taxa growing on tropical mountains (HERNÁNDEZ-LEDESMA *et al.* 2015). Although a detailed monograph on the genus is still lacking, molecular data have demonstrated that *Sagina* is monophyletic (see e.g. FIOR *et al.* 2006; GREENBERG & DONOGHUE 2011; DILLENBERGER & KADEREIT 2022). However, the taxonomy and nomenclature of the genus still require further investigations (see e.g. DILLENBERGER & KADEREIT 2022; IAMONICO *et al.* 2022).

IAMONICO (2016) correctly proposed a new name (*Sagina alexandrae* Iamónico) for *S. subulata* (Sw.) C.Presl, a later homonym (illegitimate according to Art. 53.1 of the *Madrid Code*; TURLAND *et al.* 2018) of *S. subulata* D'Urv. [= *Colobanthus subulatus* (d'Urv.) Hook.]. *Sagina alexandrae* is recognised as a distinct species in the Euro+Med Plantbase (MARHOLD 2011+), whereas it was synonymised with *S. hawaiiensis* Pax in *Plants of the World Online* (POWO 2025) where *Spergularia nobreana* Samp. is also reported. While the identity of Sampaio's name (SAMPAIO 1906) was recently clarified by IAMONICO & DILLENBERGER (2023), who considered it a heterotypic synonym of *Spergularia*

UDC: 57.06:582.661.51



media (L.) C.Presl., Pax's *S. hawaiiensis* has not yet been investigated from the nomenclatural point of view. Hence, as part of ongoing research on the genus *Sagina* (e.g. IAMONICO 2016; DILLENBERGER & KADEREIT 2022; IAMONICO *et al.* 2022), Pax's name is investigated here in detail.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This research is based on an analysis of the relevant literature (the protologues of the studied names included) and a search for and examination of the specimens deposited in the herbaria B, BISH, GH, K, NDG, RO, SBT, US, and YU (abbreviations according to THIERS 2025+).

The articles of the *International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants* cited in the text (e.g. “Art. 38.1”) follow the current edition, i.e. the *Madrid Code* (hereafter as “ICN”; TURLAND *et al.* 2025).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

PAX (1894: 27–28) published the name *Sagina hawaiiensis*, reporting in synonymy “*S. subulata* Hillebr., Fl. Hawaiian Islands 38, nec aut. germ.”. This citation refers to *Flora of the Hawaiian Islands* by HILLEBRAND (1888: 38) who, in turn, cited “‘*S. [Sagina] subulata*, Wimm.—Koch, *Synops. Fl. Germ.*’”, thereby referring to WIMMER's (1844: 76) *Flora von Schlesien preussischen und österreichischen Antheils* and Koch's (KOCH 1843: 119) *Synopsis florae Germanicae et Helveticae*. It should be noted that although WIMMER (1844: 76) proposed a new combination under *Sagina* for *Spergula subulata* Sw., this binomial is an isonym of the previous *S. subulata* (Sw.) J.Presl and, therefore, not valid from the nomenclatural point of view (Art. 6.3 Note 2 of ICN)]. However, as clearly stated by PAX (1894: 27, “...nec aut. germ.”), his concept of *S. hawaiiensis* excludes those of the German authors (WIMMER 1844 and KOCH 1843). All things considered, Pax's *Sagina hawaiiensis* must be studied within the context of Hillebrand's concept and work.

PAX (1894: 27–28) provided a detailed description of *Sagina hawaiiensis*, as well as its provenance and collector (“Sandwich Inseln, Maui, Weideplätze bei Ulupalakua (HILLEBRAND)”; which represents a syntype according to ICN Art. 9.6. According to STAFLEU & COWAN (1979) and the HUH Index of botanists (2013), Hillebrand's herbarium and the types of his Hawaiian flora were preserved at B where, however, no specimens were traced since Hillebrand's collection (as well as most of the B herbarium) was destroyed during World War II as highlighted by several authors (e.g. EGGLI & LEUENBERGER 2008; IAMONICO *et al.* 2017; MONTESINOS-TUBÉE & IAMONICO 2023). Consequently, a neotypification would be required under the rules of the *Madrid Code* (Art. 9.8 of ICN). In selecting a useful specimen for neotypification purposes, both the protologue by PAX (1894: 27–28) and the description by HILLEBRAND (1888: 38) ought to be considered. First, it is to be noted that the genus *Sagina* is actually considered allochthonous in Hawaii, with only the following three taxa recorded: *S. decumbens* (Elliott) Torr. & A.Gray subsp. *occidentalis* (S.Watson) C.E.Crow, *S. japonica* (Sw.) Ohwi, and *S. procumbens* L. (WAGNER *et al.* 2025+). A comparison of the descriptions provided by Pax and Hillebrand with the current ones for these three species (see e.g. CROW 1978: 68–70), clearly shows that none of them can be ascribed to *S. hawaiiensis* since:

- *Sagina decumbens* and *S. japonica* have petals which are slightly longer and equal to slightly shorter than the sepals [vs. petals half as long as the sepals (“petalis quam calyx dimidio brevioribus” in the protologue) in *S. hawaiiensis*];
- *Sagina procumbens* has flowers which are usually 4-merous with 4 to 8 stamens (flowers always 5-merous and 10 stamens in *S. hawaiiensis*);

The putative synonymy of *Sagina hawaiiensis* with *S. alexandrae* by some authors and databases (see e.g. PORTAL TO THE FLORA OF ITALY 2024; POWO 2025) can be excluded based on the petal/sepal ratio which is, respectively, about 0.5 and > 1 (CLAPHAM & JARDIN 1993: 147; PIGNATTI 2018).

The occurrence of 10 stamens in *Sagina hawaiiensis* is not a common feature in the genus, whose members usually exhibit 4 or 5 stamens. Species with 10 stamens include: *S. caespitosa* Lange, *S. maxima* (A.Gray) s.l., *S. nivalis* (Lindblom) Fr., *S. nodosa* (L. Fenzl), and *S. saginoides* (L.) H.Karst. Due to the petal/sepal ratio in *S. hawaiiensis* (about 0.5), *S. caespitosa* (ratio > 1), *S. nivalis* (ratio about 1; also, the flowers do not match, being 4-merous against 5-merous in *S. hawaiiensis*), and *S. nodosa* (ratio about 2) can be excluded. *Sagina saginoides* has glabrous peduncles, whereas those of *S. hawaiiensis* are glandular-pubescent. *S. maxima* s.s. exhibits a morphology consistent with *S. hawaiiensis* with the following diagnostic features (CROW 1978, 2005): succulent leaves, 5-merous flowers, glandular-pubescent peduncles, and a corolla shorter than the calyx.

CROW (1978: 77) indicated a GH specimen as the holotype of Gray's binomial *Sagina maxima*, and an isotype at NY was also reported. However, GRAY (1858: 382) did not designate any holotype since the protologue includes just the provenance ("Cape Sangar") and the title of the work highlights the collector ("... collected in Japan by Charles Wright ..."). In other words, GRAY (1858) cited a syntype (ICN Art. 9.6). We traced one specimen at GH (barcode GH00037841) which is annotated as a holotype by Crow (label on the bottom-centre of the sheet). According to Arts. 9.3, 9.6, and 9.10 Crow's term holotype should be corrected to lectotype.

Concerning the typification of *Sagina hawaiiensis*, the herbarium BISH (*Herbarium Pacificum* at the Hawaiian Bishop Museum), where numerous Hawaiian collections are preserved, was also examined but, unfortunately, no useful specimen was found. A solution is to use the lectotype of *S. maxima* (GH00037841) as the neotype of Pax's binomial. The two names thus become homotypic synonyms.

TAXONOMIC TREATMENT

Sagina maxima A.Gray, Mem. Amer. Acad. Arts n.s., 6: 382. 1858. – **Lectotype (designated by Crow 1978: 77 as "holotype" here corrected according to ICN Art. 9.10):** Japan, Cape Sangar, 1853-1856, *Wright s.n.* (GH00037841!, image available at <https://plants.jstor.org/stable/viewer/10.5555/al.ap.specimen.gh00037841>).

≡ *Sagina hawaiiensis* Pax, Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 18: 27. 1893, *syn. nov.* – **Neotype (designated here):** Japan, Cape Sangar, 1853-1856, *Wright s.n.* (GH00037841!, image available at <https://plants.jstor.org/stable/viewer/10.5555/al.ap.specimen.gh00037841>).

Acknowledgements – I would like to thank the directors and curators of all the listed herbaria for their support during our visits and the loan of specimens/photographs.

REFERENCES

- CLAPHAM AR & JARDIN N. 1993. *Sagina* L. In: TUTIN TG, BURGESS NA, CHARTER AO, EDMONSON JR, HEYWOOD VH, MOORE DM, VALENTINE DH, WALTERS SM & WEBB DA (eds.), *Flora Europaea (Psilotaceae to Platanaceae) 2nd ed.* 1, pp. 176–178, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- CROW GE. 1978. A taxonomic revision of *Sagina* (Caryophyllaceae) in North America. *Rhodora* 80: 1–91.

- CROW GE. 2005. *Sagina* L. In: FLORA OF NORTH AMERICA EDITORIAL COMMITTEE (ed.), *Flora of North America north of Mexico* 5, pp. 140–147, Oxford University Press, New York & Oxford.
- DILLENBERGER MS & KADEREIT JW. 2022. The distinction between *Sagina apetala* and *S. micropetala* (Caryophyllaceae: Sagineae), their phylogenetic relationships, and a note on the coastal origin of some widespread ruderals. *Willdenowia* 52: 5–23. <https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.52.52101>
- EGGLI U & LEUENBERGER BE. 2008. Type specimens of Cactaceae names in the Berlin Herbarium (B) [De herbario berolinensi notulae 48]. *Willdenowia* 38: 213–280. <https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.38.38116>
- FIOR S, KARIS PO, CASAZZA G, MINUTO L & SALA F. 2006. Molecular phylogeny of the Caryophyllaceae (Caryophyllales) inferred from chloroplast matK and nuclear rDNA ITS sequences. *American Journal of Botany* 93: 399–411. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.93.3.399>
- GRAY A. 1858. Diagnostic Characters of New Species of Phaenogamous Plants, collected in Japan by Charles Wright, Botanist of the U.S. North Pacific Exploring Expedition. (Published by Request of Captain John Rodgers, Commander of the Expedition). With Observation upon the Relation of the Japanese Flora to that of North America, and of other Parts of the Northern Temperate Zones. *Memoirs of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences* 6: 377–449.
- GREENBERG AK & DONOGHUE MJ. 2011. Molecular systematics and character evolution in Caryophyllaceae. *Taxon* 60(6): 1637–1652. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.606009>
- HERNÁNDEZ-LEDESMA P, BERENDSOHN WG, BORSCH T, MERING S VON, AKHANI H, ARIAS S, CASTANEDA-NOA I, EGGLI U, ERIKSSON R, FLORES-OLVERA H, FUENTES-BAZAN S, KADEREIT G, KLAK C, KOROTKOVA N, NYFFELER R, OCAMPO G, OCHOTERENA H, OXELMAN B, RABELER R, SANCHEZ A, SCHLUMPBERGER B & UOTILA P. 2015. A taxonomic backbone for the global synthesis of species diversity in the angiosperm order Caryophyllales. *Willdenowia* 45(3): 281–383. <https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.45.45301>
- HILLEBRAND WF. 1888. *Flora of the Hawaiian islands: a description of their phanerogams and vascular cryptogams*. Williams & Norgate/B. Westermann & Co.
- HUH INDEX OF BOTANISTS. 2013-onwards. Index of botanists, Harvard University Herbaria & Libraries. Available at: https://kiki.huh.harvard.edu/databases/botanist_index.html [Accessed 23 July 2025].
- IAMONICO D. 2016. A new name in *Sagina*, *Sagina alexandrae* (Caryophyllaceae). *Phytotaxa* 282(2): 164–165. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.282.2.8>
- IAMONICO D & DILLENBERGER M. 2023. On the identity of the name *Spergularia nobreana* (Caryophyllaceae). *Phytotaxa* 598(3): 254–258. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.598.3.7>
- IAMONICO D, DEL GUACCHIO E & GUGLIELMONE L. 2022. The genus *Sagina* (Caryophyllaceae) in Italy. 1. Nomenclatural remarks. *Plants* 12(17): 3169. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12173169>
- IAMONICO D, HASSEMER G, RØNSTED N & DI PIETRO R. 2017. The intricate nomenclatural questions around *Plantago holosteum* (Plantaginaceae). *Phytotaxa* 306(1): 75–84. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.306.1.6>
- KOCH WDJ. 1843. *Synopsis florum Germanicae et Helveticae [...] Editio secunda* 1. Sumptibus Gebhardt et Reisland.
- MARHOLD K. 2011+. Caryophyllaceae Juss. In: Euro+Med Plantbase - the information resource for Euro-Mediterranean plant diversity Available at: https://europlusmed.org/cdm_dataportal/taxon/96920cef-ee63-4001-942e-0af9abc4ba51 [Accessed 23 July 2025].
- MONTESINOS-TUBÉE DB & IAMONICO D. 2023. Neotypification for five names linked to *Arenaria* (Caryophyllaceae) for the endemic flora of Peru and Bolivia. *Phytokeys* 230: 131–144. <https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.230.107263>
- PAX FA. 1894. Über die Verbreitung der südamerikanischen Caryophyllaceae und die Arten der Republica Argentina. *Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik. Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie* 18: 1–35.
- PIGNATTI S. (Ed.) 2018. *Flora d'Italia*, 2nd 2. Edagricole.
- PORTAL TO THE FLORA OF ITALY 2024. Portal to the Flora of Italy Available at: <https://dryades.units.it/floritaly/> [Accessed 23 July 2025].
- POWO 2025. Plants of the World Online. Facilitated by the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. Available at: <https://powo.science.kew.org/taxon/urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:156457-1> [Accessed 23 July 2025].

- SAMPAIO GA. 1906. Notas criticas sobre a flora Portuguesa. *Anaes de Sciencias Naturaes* **10**: 5–75.
- STAFLEU AF & COWAN RS. 1979. *Taxonomic literature, ed. 2 II* (H–Le). The Hague.
- THIERS B. 2025 [continuously updated]. Index herbariorum: a global directory of public herbaria and associated staff. New York Botanical Garden's virtual herbarium. Available at: <http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/> [Accessed 23 July 2025].
- TURLAND NJ, WIERSEMA JH, BARRIE FR, GANDHI KN, GRAVENDYCK J, GREUTER W, HAWKSWORTH DL, HERENDEEN PS, KLOPPER RR, KNAPP S, KUSBER W-H, LI D-Z, MAY TW, MONRO AM, PRADO J, PRICE MJ, SMITH GF & ZAMORA SEÑORET JC. 2025. International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Madrid Code). Regnum Vegetabile 162. University of Chicago Press. <https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226839479.001.0001>
- WAGNER WL, FARR E, HERBST DR & LORENCE DH. 2025+. *Flora of the Hawaiian Islands*. Available at: <https://naturalhistory2.si.edu/botany/hawaiianflora/intro.htm> [Accessed 23 July 2025].
- WIMMER F. 1844. *Flora von Schlesien preussischen und österreichischen Antheils 1*. von Ferdinand Hirt.



REZIME

Pojašnjenja o identitetu imena vrsta *Sagina alexandrae* i *S. hawaiiensis* (Caryophyllaceae)

Duilio IAMONICO

Imena *Sagina alexandrae* i *S. hawaiiensis* se trenutno smatraju heterotipskim sinonimima. Detaljna analiza protologa i relevantne literature, kao i originalnog materijala i drugih specimena otkrivaju da se ova dva imena ne odnose na isti takson. Na osnovu Paxovog originalnog opisa i Hillebrandovog opisa publikovanog u Flori Havajskih ostrva, primetili smo da krunični listići *S. hawaiiensis* predstavljaju 1/2 dužine kruničnih listića (“petalis quam calyx dimidio brevioribus” u protologu), dok su kod *S. alexandrae* čašični i krunični listići iste dužine. Zapravo, kod *S. hawaiiensis* je opisan set neuobičajenih karaktera, posebno u vezi cvetova koji su petočlani, sa kunicom koja je kraća od čašice, kao i 10 prašnika. Među nekoliko *Sagina* sa 10 prašnika (*S. caespitosa*, *S. maxima*, *S. nivalis*, *S. nodosa* i *S. saginoides*), jedino *S. maxima* s.s. pokazuje morfologiju identičnu *S. hawaiiensis*. Nomenklatura ova dva imena je razjašnjena. Prema članu s. 9.3, 9.6, i 9.10 Madrid koda, indikacija “holotipa” od strane Crow-a za *S. maxima* je korigovana u “lektotip” (specimen u GH). Zbog nedostatka originalnog materijala *S. hawaiiensis*, kao i specimena sakupljenog na Havajima, tipski material *S. maxima* je označen kao neotip (Član 9.8), što čini ova dva imena homotipskim sinonimima (novim sinonimima).

Ključne reči: nomenklatura, *Sagina maxima*, sinonimija, tipifikacija

